



**U.S. Department of Justice**

*United States Attorney  
Eastern District of New York*

EAG:MEF  
F. #2018R01021

*271 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201*

July 21, 2021

By ECF

The Honorable Brian M. Cogan  
United States District Judge  
United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: United States v. Anthony Silvestro  
Criminal Docket No. 19-442 (S-1) (BMC)

Dear Judge Cogan:

The government respectfully submits this letter in connection with the sentencing of defendant Anthony Silvestro and in response to the defendant's July 1, 2021 sentencing memorandum. For the reasons set forth below, the government respectfully submits that a sentence within the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("Guidelines or "U.S.S.G.") range of 30 to 37 months' imprisonment is appropriate in this case.

BACKGROUND

A. Racketeering Act Eleven

Prior to their arrests in October 2019, Thomas Scorgia and John Doe #10 both operated lucrative loansharking businesses. In or about 2017, John Doe #10 became upset that Scorgia was operating the business in locations that John Doe #10 viewed as his turf. John Doe #10 went to Scorgia's office in Brooklyn, New York, where he assaulted Scorgia and vandalized his property, and then directed several of Scorgia's loansharking customers to stop paying Scorgia and instead only make payments to John Doe #10.

In or about January 2019, Scorgia decided to retaliate. This decision coincided with Scorgia's formal induction into the Colombo organized crime family of La Cosa Nostra (the "Colombo family") in December 2018. Scorgia enlisted several of his codefendants for assistance, including the defendants Krenar Suka, Anthony Silvestro and Albert

Masterjoseph. He also expressly sought and received the approval of Joseph Amato, a powerful captain in the Colombo family, to whom Scorcia reported.

First, on Wednesday, January 30, 2019, Scorcia directed one of their common loansharking customers to tell John Doe #10 that he was to no longer make payments to John Doe #10. On the evening of January 30, 2019, Scorcia and Suka went to the Woodrow Diner in Staten Island where they planned to confront John Doe #10. At 8:06 p.m. (TS2 #1442), Scorcia and Suka called Silvestro and complained that the Woodrow Diner was packed and they were concerned about carrying out the planned confrontation. For example, Silvestro proposed, “I would just wait for the kid to come out the place and grab him before he gets to the car,” but Suka said, “It’s packed bro, there’s so many cars there, there’s so many people there. ... Bro, I’m telling you, Tommy [Scorcia], tell him it’s fucking slammed in there right now.” Scorcia echoed, “Fucking packed, people walking out with fucking kids, and like (UI<sup>1</sup>) fucking (UI).” Scorcia then lamented, “I’m fucking, I’m sick to my fucking stomach, I was just gonna walk right in there, but there’s fucking people coming out with fucking families.” As an alternative, Scorcia offered, “Horrible, he’s gonna have to fucking text him, because he text him and say ‘Hey I want to meet you we’re gonna do something here and there or whatever’ and bingo (UI) fucking pop up like that maybe tomorrow afternoon or Saturday morning.” A few minutes later, at 8:37 p.m. (TS2 #1447), after Scorcia and Suka had apparently left the dinner, Scorcia and Silvestro spoke again.

Scorcia: It’s a breakfast place, I get in, I’m about to get in the car I said, “fuck it, I’m gonna go in there right now.”

Silvestro: And this rat really parked under the fucking cameras?

Scorcia: Yeah, 150%, I said, “I’m gonna go in there right now, and walk in, I’m gonna blast the kid in the face.” I says, “motherfucker.”

Silvestro: Yeah, yeah, yeah.

Scorcia: The mother fucker, the place is packed, (UI).

Silvestro: Listen, at the end of the day, you can’t, fucking, cannot jeopardize the freedom for fucking some little jerkoff like that you know what I mean.

They then started to make plans for their next attempt to extort John Doe #10. Silvestro said:

On Saturday [February 2, 2019], we shouldn’t even, we shouldn’t even make it a fucking song and a dance, we jump out. ... Give him (UI) you send him a smack. If he raises his hand back to you, we beat the bricks off him, that’s it. ... That’s the bottom line, we’re taking his shit, you’re giving him your fucking, breaking his shit, punching him and we’re out of there.

---

<sup>1</sup> “UI” is an abbreviation for “unintelligible.”

Scorcia answered, “Exactly. That’s what we’re gonna do.” They agreed to execute the plan on Saturday. Scorcia also updated co-defendant and fellow soldier Daniel Capaldo about what had happened:

Nothing, I just pulled into Woodrow or whatever, I’m gonna head home, I’m gonna head home, and uh, it just didn’t work, wasn’t good to fucking do the work, you know what I’m saying? Not enough time, whatever, the valves wouldn’t hold, lot of fucking people, too many people complaining they would have had no water, so it would have been really, uh, fucked up.

You know, one of the big plumbers that I had with me, not the one you know, the other one, he didn’t like it. You know, so, what the fuck was I gonna do? You know? Abort the job? What would we do? We’d have to do OT on the whatever. All right, I’ll talk to you tomorrow then. No worries, all good.

Scorcia, Silvestro, and Masterjoseph attempted to carry out the plan, a second time, on the following Saturday, February 2, 2019, but the plan was thwarted when they went to John Doe #10’s residence and discovered he had had cameras installed that could capture their actions on a recording. At 1:15 p.m. (TS2 #2066), Scorcia called Capaldo and asked him to put Amato on the line, after which they discussed the extortion scheme in coded language referring to purported plumbing work:

Scorcia: Oh, alright. So, hear, hear me out, the whatchamacallit, the guy that we have to do the job, off the job for, run all the lines and everything, doesn’t uh, was avoiding the kid, doesn’t want to meet, doesn’t want to start the work, the only way he would meet the kid, to do what they have to do, would be in front of his house.

Amato: They would only meet in front of his house?

Scorcia: Yeah, where he’s got, uh, cameras all over it.

Amato: Yeah, alright, stupid, don’t worry, don’t go over his house, that’s for sure, Cuz.

Scorcia: Don’t, right?

Amato: No, no, you control the situation. Don’t let him control it.

Scorcia: Yeah.

Amato: Alright, just leave it alone, leave it until tomorrow, we’ll talk, alright.

A few minutes later, at 1:27 p.m. (TS2 #2069), Scorcia related to Suka that Amato had told him to abort the plan: “I just, uh, tried to get permission over there.” Scorcia then observed, “That’s ridiculous over there by the house. I don’t know, but he’s [referring to John Doe #10] fucking, (UI) chicken shit, he’s got no balls, he’s a telephone tough guy, you know that, you know.”

On Tuesday, February 5, 2019, Scorcia, Silvestro and Suka tried again to carry out their plan to confront and assault John Doe #10. In a call at 12:44 p.m. (TS2 #2477), Scorcia told Silvestro, “Just give me a heads up what time, ya know, this way I can get the

other gorilla to come and meet us, from the other day. ... Five, 6:00 (UI) later, you tell m-, ya know, what do you think? Wanna get a little darker? Right? ... We could do it right in New Dorp too 'cause that's where that kid lives." Law enforcement then warned John Doe #10 about the potential assault and John Doe #10 communicated that he had been warned to others, causing Scorgia and others to abandon their plan that night.

Notwithstanding law enforcement scrutiny, Silvestro followed through with some form of their plan after all, as evidenced by a call on February 8, 2019, at 1:33 p.m. (TS2 #2987), wherein Silvestro told Scorgia that he had instilled fear in "the kid," an apparent reference to John Doe #10:

Silvestro: I've got the funniest fucking video to show you when I see you. You're gonna pee your pants.  
Scorgia: Fuck! I spoke to my buddy, he says he'll let me know, so hopefully it won't be fucking dead. He's fucking scared, this fucking kid. You don't think so?  
Silvestro: Bro, you have no idea what I did to him.  
Scorgia: Motherfucker! Why can't I be there!?!? Motherfucker! (Laughing) Motherfucker.

#### B. Racketeering Act 12

In addition to the above, Silvestro, together with Amato Jr., helped to arrange an extortionate loan in the amount of \$60,000 from Sean Regina to John Doe #6, who had separately incurred a \$60,000 debt to Amato Jr. PSR at ¶ 63. Amato Jr. arranged for the loan to be paid directly to him (except for \$1,000 which was given to John Doe #6), and as a result, John Doe #6 owed \$107,000, paid in biweekly installments of \$1,250. As part of this scheme, and at Amato Jr.'s direction, John Doe #6 and Amato Jr. met Regina and Silvestro at the Wild Ave. club.

### DISCUSSION

#### A. The Guidelines Calculation

The parties agree on the applicable Guidelines calculation as set forth in the PSR. Therefore, the government respectfully submits that the Court should adopt the Guidelines calculation set forth in the PSR, which is 30 to 37 months' imprisonment.

#### B. A Guidelines Sentence Is Warranted

The government respectfully submits that, in this case, a sentence within the advisory Guidelines range is appropriate in light of all the relevant factors, including the nature and characteristics of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant, and the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment, to afford adequate deterrence and to protect the public.

A. Legal Standard

The Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory. United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738, 764-65 (2005). However, the Supreme Court held in Booker that the sentencing court must consider the Guidelines in formulating an appropriate sentence. Id. In Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38 (2007), the Supreme Court set forth the procedure for sentencing courts to follow in light of Booker:

[A] district court should begin all sentencing proceedings by correctly calculating the applicable Guidelines range. As a matter of administration and to secure nationwide consistency, the Guidelines should be the starting point and the initial benchmark.

Gall, 552 U.S. at 49 (citation omitted). Next, a district court should “consider all of the § 3553(a) factors to determine whether they support the sentence requested by a party. In so doing, [the district court] may not presume that the Guidelines range is reasonable. [The district court] must make an individualized assessment based on the facts presented.” Id. at 49-50 (citation and footnote omitted).

B. Analysis

Based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), a sentence within the Guidelines range is appropriate in this case. Both the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant support a sentence within the Guidelines range. The defendant has been convicted of an offense involving threats of violence to interfere with a loansharking business operated by John Doe #10. Using threats of violence is a serious crime. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1). The intercepted communications demonstrate that the defendant and his coconspirators engaged in a combined effort to intimidate John Doe #10 and abandoned their plan only after they believed they would be caught by law enforcement. In light of these facts, the nature and circumstances of the offense support the imposition of a sentence within the Guidelines range.

A sentence within the advisory Guidelines range is also necessary to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct and to protect the public from further crimes of the defendants. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B) & (c). “Under section 3553(a)(2)(B), there are two major considerations: specific and general deterrence.” United States v. Davis, No. 08-CR-332 (JBW), 2010 WL 1221709, at \*2 (E.D.N.Y. March 29, 2010). Both considerations support the imposition of a term within the advisory Guidelines range in this case.

CONCLUSION

In this case, given all of the facts and circumstances discussed above, a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range of 30 to 37 months' imprisonment is necessary in order to achieve the purposes set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Respectfully submitted,

JACQUELYN M. KASULIS  
Acting United States Attorney

By: /s/  
Elizabeth Geddes  
Megan Farrell  
James McDonald  
Assistant U.S. Attorneys  
(718) 254-7000

Cc: Clerk of the Court (BMC) (by ECF)  
Monia Wilder (U.S. Probation) (by e-mail)  
Matthew J. Mari, Esq. (by ECF)